, Application Number	Application/Co	:	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination CHOW ET AL.				
Document Code - DISQ		Internal Doc		cument – DO NOT MAIL			
TERMINAL DISCLAIMER	☑ APPROVED		☐ DISAPP	☐ DISAPPROVED			
Date Filed : February 1, 2006	to a Te	et is subject erminal laimer					
Approved/Disapproved by:							
Henry D. Jefferson							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

T.D. INFORMAL MEMO: DO NOT MAIL THIS MEMO TO APPLICANT

To Examiner: TRINH, TAN Art Unit 2684	Date:			08-Feb-06	APPL. S. N:	10657542					
SUBJECT: Decision on Terminal Disclaimer(T.D.) filed: INSTRUCTIONS: I have reviewed the submitted T.D. with the results as set forth below. If you agree, please use the appropriate form paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office action to notify applicant of the T.D. If you disagree or have any questions, please see me or the Special Program Examiner. THIS IS AN INFORMAL, INTERNAL MEMO ONLY. IT MUST NOT BE (1) MAILED TO APPLICANT OR (2) PLACED OF RECORD IN THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is completed initial, date and return this memo to me. THANK YOU. The T.D. Is PROPER and has been recorded (see 14.23). The T.D. Is PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24): The T.D fee of has not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application file for the use of a deposit account The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a termir portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the real and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37.278 x.378)(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of t	To Exam	iner:		TRINH, TAN	Art Unit	2684					
INSTRUCTIONS: I have reviewed the submitted T.D. with the results as set forth below. If you agree, please use the appropriate form paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office action to notify applicant of the T.D. If you disagree for have any questions, please see me or the Special Program Examiner. THIS IS AN INFORMAL, INTERNAL MEMO ONLY. If MUST NOT BE (1) MAILED TO APPLICANT OR (2) PLACED OF RECORD IN THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complete please initial, date and return this memo to me. THANK YOU. The T.D. is PROPER and has been recorded (see 14.23). The T.D. is PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24): The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a termir portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the real and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37.276 x 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 22). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the real and frame number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02	From			,		JEF-2D68					
form paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office action to notify applicant of the T.D. If you disagree or have any questions, please see me or the Special Program Examiner. THIS IS AN INFORMAL, INTERNAL MEMO ONLY. IT MUST NOT BE (1) MAILED TO APPLICANT OR (2) PLACED OF RECORD IN THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is complete please initial, date and return this memo to me. THANK YOU. The T.D. is PROPER and has been recorded (see 14.23). The T.D. is PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24): The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application file for the use of a deposit account The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. loss the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a termin portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence	SUBJEC	T: Decision	on on Terminal	Disclaimer(T.D.) filed:							
The T.D. is PROPER and has been recorded (see 14.23). The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24): The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application file for the use of a deposit account The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. Is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a termir portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.6. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32, 1.42.7.02 or 14.26.03). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (se	form par or have	agraphs i any quest	dentified by the	is informal memo in your r se me or the Special Progra	ext Office action to notify applicant im Examiner. THIS IS AN INFORMAL	of the T.D. If you disagree INTERNAL MEMO ONLY.					
The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24): The TD fee ofhas not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application file for the use of a deposit account The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a termir portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The Serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, c	please ir										
The TD fee of	oxdot	The T.D.	T.D. is PROPER and has been recorded (see 14.23).								
use of a deposit account The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. Is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a termir portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 0.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).		The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24):									
his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01). The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a termir portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.		n in the application file for the									
double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01). The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a termir portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.22, 02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.	The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the exter his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature)										
portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02). The person who signed the T.D.: is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.											
is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01). has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.			The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a terminal portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02).								
has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28). is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.											
is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02). No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.			is no	ot an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01).						
No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.			has	failed to state his/her capa	city to sign for the business entity (see 14.28).					
nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30). The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03). The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.			is no	ot recognized as an officer of	of the assignee (see 14.29 & possibl	e 14.29.02).					
The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.	-		nor is the reel (see 37 CFR 3	l and frame number specific 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72).	ne number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office nd 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and						
patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32). The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.	The T.D. is		The T.D. is no	t signed (see 14.26 & 14.2	6.03).						
disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05). The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03). Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.											
Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.			The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05).								
Other: Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item. have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.		The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).									
and do not check this item. have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.			Other:			T V					
Ex.Initials: Log Date:	have ap	propriate	ly notified app	licant(s) of the status of th	e Terminal Disclaimer filed in this ca	ase.					
	x.Initial	s:	Date	:		Log Date:					

PTO/68/28 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2008, CMB 0851-0031
U.S. Potent and Trademark Office U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Peperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to ction of information unless is displays a valid CMB control number TERMINAL DISCLAIMER TO OBVIATE A DOUBLE PATENTING Docket Number (Optional) REJECTION OVER A "PRIOR" PATENT 1999-0674CON In re Application of: Albert T. Chow Application No.: 10/657,542 Filad: 09-08-2003 For: Automatic Wireless Service Activation In a Private Local Wireless System The owner. percent interest in the instant application hereby discissins. except as provided below, the terminal part of the statutory term of any patent granted on the Instant application which would extend beyond the expiration date of the full statutory term prior patent No. 6,643,504 as the term of said prior patent is defined in 35 U.S.C. 154 and 173, and as the term of said prior patent is presently shortened by any terminal disclaimer. The owner hereby agrees that any patent so granted on the Instant application shall be enforceable only for and during such period that it and the prior patient are commonly owned. This agreement runs with any patent granted on the instant application and is binding upon the grantee, its successors or assigns. In making the above disclaimer, the owner does not disclaim the terminal part of the term of any patent granted on the instant application that would extend to the expiration date of the full statutory term as defined in 35 U.S.C. 154 and 173 of the prior patent, "as the term of said prior patent is presently shortened by any terminal disclaimer," in the event that said prior patent later. expires for fallure to pay a maintenance fee; is held unenforceable; is found invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction; is statutorily disciplined in whole or terminally disciplined under 37 CFR 1.321; has all claims canceled by a reexamination certificate; is in any manner terminated prior to the expiration of its full statutory term as presently shortened by any terminal disclaimer. Check either box 1 or 2 below, if appropriate, For submissions on behalf of a business/organization (e.g., corporation, partnership, university, government agency, etc.), the undersigned is empowered to act on behalf of the business/organization. I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that wilful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such wilful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon. The undersigned is an attorney or agent of record. Reg. No. 32.202 2/1/2006 Date Wendy KB Buskop Typed or printed name 713.403.7411 Telephone Number Terminal disclaimer fee under 37 CFR 1.20(d) included. WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038. *Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is required if terminal disclaimer is signed by the assignee (owner). Form PTC/SB/96 may be used for making this certification. See MPEP § 324.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.321. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, properties, and eutomating the completed application form to the USPTO. Three will vary depending upon the includual case. Any comments on the amount of time your require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this busines, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Petern and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Abusendria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patenta, P.O. Box 1459, Abusendria, VA 22313-1450.

68888015 501313

18657542

\$62/82/2886\$ NB IMPS If you need assistance in completing the form, cell 1-800-PTO-9199 and select cellson 2.

91 FC:1814

139.68 DA

PAGE 11/14 * RCVD AT 2/1/2008 4:10:52 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/38 * DNIS-2/738300 * CSID:7132753419 * DURATION (mm-ss):03-48

(FRX)7132753419 RECEIVED CENTRAL HAX CENTER

FEB 0 1 2006

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Albert T. Chow, et al

Group Art Unit: 2684

Serial Number: 10/657,542

Examiner: Trinh, Tan H

Filed: 09-08-2003

Confirmation Number: 9892

For: AUTOMATIC WIRELESS SERVICE ACTIVATION INA PRIVATE LOCAL

Attorney Docket Number: 1999-

WIRELESS SYSTEM

0674CON

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AUTHORIZATION TO PAY FEES

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge \$130.00 for the filing of a terminal disclaimer and any other fee deficiencies associated with this filing to Deposit Account No 50-1313 in the name of Buskop Law Group. A duplicate copy of this transmittal is enclosed.

Ottfully submitted,

Christian Heauster Patent Attorney Reg. Number 50,771

Please mail correspondence to the address associated with customer number 26652.

Mr. S.H. Dworetsky AT&T Corp. Room 2A-207 Onc AT&T Way Bedminster, NJ 07921